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Introduction: The scholars of Hanafi madhab in such big cities of Central Asia as Bukhara and Samarkand were actively involved in social-political life during the 10th-13th centuries. And the introduction of Hanafi madhab into this area and the way of its development was studied by many Western scholars (Mahmud al-Sharbini, 1999, p.22).

It is known that faqih (Islam lawyers) were the main executors of the social and political government of society in Transoxiana during the 10th-13th centuries. The specific feature of that period was that, Sultan appointed both rais (who was occupied with religious affairs) and amir (the representative of the government) in one city. Rais made a policy to strengthen Sunnism in the territory of the occupied countries. Here faqih family members as Ali Burhan (Chafik T.Chehata, 1936, p.367) family assisted them. They also paid much attention to weaken their political enemies, especially shi’a groups who were near the capital of the country Hurassan. To accomplish these tasks they used Hanafi scholars of Hurassan and Transoxiana. Those scholars made a lot of afford to strengthen Hanafi madhab in Central Asian countries and decrease shi’a status. The ruling dynasties as Samanids, Karahanids, Gaznavids and Saljukids Sultans in order to obey the people made benefit of Islam faqih's participation in it.

The period in which the project will cover is considered as the second part of the development of Islamic History in Central Asia. That is the mutual impact and assimilation of Islamic cultures. The article will also deal with the activities of qadi and qadi courts which were as means between Sultan and his people in the social life of Transoxiana during 10th-13th centuries.
The article is based on most recent accomplishments, conclusions and methods in World Islamic and historical sciences. The historical-comparative method was applied to study the sources including various manuscripts of “Hanafi Law” and many other sources written in Arabic that are available in the Fund of Manuscripts of the Institute of Oriental Studies under the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

It relies on recommendations, conclusions of research works and the conceptions related to the history of the civilization of the Central Asia that were stated by leading scientists of our country and foreign countries such as Schacht Joseph, Monika Gronke, Ulrich Rebstock, Chehata Chafik, Emile Tyan, Chalmeta P.; Carriente F, Riberta J., Asin, Jeanette A., Wakin, Michael Thung, Wael Hallaq, Carl Brockelmann (Abû Nasr al-Samarqandi, Ed. Muhammad Jasim al-Hadishi, 1987, p.46).

The article aims to illuminate the role of literature on juridical documents in the development of divinity science in Transoxiana in X-XIII centuries. Moreover, to illuminate the importance of such works in regulating the social relations of the cultural life of our society. To highlight the historical-juridical forms, theoretical and practical foundation of juridical documents functioning in the world of Islam and Transoxiana in X-XIII centuries that was an important part of the social life.

The following items: (1) a statement made by witnesses to the effect that someone has, for instance, sold, bought, pledged or acknowledged something. The mahdar refers to any of two statements only that remain with the qādī, but does not have on it a record of what the mahdar contained, together with claims taking place in the presence of the qādī, who must sign it before witnesses for it to be complete. Accordingly, the mahdar is logically the basis (asl) of the sijill, the latter being constructed from the former. Ibn Nujaym, Riberta J., Asin, Janette A. Wakin, Michael Thung, Wael Hallak, Carl Brockelmann.
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dīwāns had existed as a formal and systematic judicial dīwāns, the purpose being to show that these institutions prior to the Islamic administration. In support of this thesis, we advance as a prelude a set of three arguments, the first and third of which are generally circumstantial in nature, but the second has a direct bearing upon the question at hand. The first argument is that the keeping of records in the classical form of dīwān was, as anyone will admit, a common practice of administration throughout the centuries and in, at least, all central Muslim lands, from Transoxania in the east to Andalusia in the west (Muhammad al-Zuhayli, 1995, p.590). There is no question as to the historicity of the formal and systematic nature of a variety of dīwāns, be it dīwān al-kharaj, dīwān al-jawāli, dīwān al-rasā‘īl, dīwān al-junud, dīwān al-sirr, or, significantly, dīwān al-mazālim. If all these have been attested as formal institutions involving systematic practice, then the dīwān al- qādī should be viewed and treated no differently, especially in light of the fact that our evidence of this dīwān is no less abundant than that of other dīwāns. A close look at the monumental work Subh al-A‘sha of Qalqashandi makes it quite clear that all these dīwāns, including that of the qādī, equally partook of the administrative world of Islamic societies and of the ruling dynasties. There is nothing in this oeuvre that suggests otherwise. Furthermore, the justification of viewing judicial dīwāns in the same manner as other dīwāns are viewed-namely, as formal and systematic institutions—is bolstered by the fact that it was often the higher rank qādīs themselves who headed non-judicial dīwāns such as dīwān al-sirr and dīwān al-mazālim. The second argument is cognate with the first. All legal and quasi-legal discourse throughout Islamic history attests to the presence of the scribe (kātib) as a permanent fixture of the qādī’s entourage. This discourse makes it crystal clear that the function of the scribe was to record the minutes of the court proceedings, including the claims of the parties to the lawsuit, and the deposition of witnesses. During the trial, he read all written claims, statements of the witnesses and documents relevant to the case being adjudicated, and at times was himself called upon to act as a witness. He also issued hujjas, based on the minutes, in favor of the parties who were judged to possess a right to one thing or another. Whatever the scribe’s precise function was, it was either directly related to the qādī’s dīwān (Mahmud al-Sharbini, 1999, p.22), or it issued from it; but without him the business of the court would come to a halt. When Ibn Qudama made the hiring of the scribe only recommended for the qādī – and in that he was in the minority—he was in no way suggesting that the qādī could dispense with the function itself, but rather with the personnel; for he argues that the qādī may hold his court without a scribe.

1. Sukūk, which includes contracts of sale, pledges, acknowledgments, gifts, donations and other instruments, including adhkar huqūq. These are also known as huqūq and wathiqa, although they also refer, as Ibn Nujaym states, to mahādir and sijillāt (Husamuddin al-sadr al-shahīd, Ed., Mahiy Hilāl al-Sarhān, 1977-78, v.4);
2. A register of the witnesses whose rectitude has been established, and those who have been disqualified. Included here are the names of the qādī ‘s agent who undertook the task of examining the character of these witnesses or former witnesses (muzakkī);
3. A register of prisoners, including the date on which they were imprisoned, and the reasons for conviction;
4. A register of trustees over waqfs, orphans, divorcees alimonies, etc.;
5. A register of bequests (wasāyā);
6. Copies of letters sent from one qādī to another (kitāb huqūq or kitāb al-qādī), and of relevant legal documents that were attached to the letter (Abū Nasr al-Samarqandi, Ed. Muhammad Jasim al-Hadisi, 1987, p.46);
7. A register of guarantors (kufala’; sg. kafīl);

In sum, every relevant piece of evidence encountered points in one direction, namely, that the qādī’s complete set of records were known as his dīwān, and that they included the two major components of mahādir and sijillāt, in addition to such other components as were enumerated above. The sijill, used in a technical legal sense, simply never encompassed the vast array of documentation generated in the qādī’s assembly. Furthermore, our evidence of this reconstruction includes the attestation of four major Islamic administration jurists. The foregoing evidence is, We think, sufficient to allow us to abandon the designation ‘sijill’ to refer to the totality of the qādī’s records, be they Islamic administration or otherwise. As for the term ‘court’, it also presents us with a problem. Ideally, it should be substituted by ‘assembly’, reflecting the Arabic word ‘majlis’(majālis). But since the use of ‘assembly’ could prove awkward, we might well retain ‘court’ but with the full understanding that we are speaking about a different type of adjudicatory organ. Such a concession, however, can in no way be made in the case of the sijill, for the use is both inaccurate and misleading. Now that the terminology has been established, We will turn to the question of judicial dīwāns, the purpose being to show that these dīwāns had existed as a formal and systematic institution prior to the Islamic administration.
only if he is able to undertake the task which is otherwise assigned to the scribe. But since Ibn Qudama knew well that the normal tasks of the qādī were already demanding without his having to take on further responsibility, he made the hiring of a scribe highly recommended. That the great majority of authors not only prescribes but also takes for granted the presence of the scribe in the qādīs entourage, goes to show that this function remained throughout an integral part of the court structure. It appears that the scribe was equally indispensable in the mazālim court, and his functions there seem to have differed in no significant respect from those he had in the Shari’a court. The function of the scribe must here be differentiated from that of the notary, the shurūt or the muwaththiq, who did not sit in the qādīs court and whose function was a private, not a public one, which the kātib’s was. In contradistinction to the kātib whose activity was limited to writing in, and from, the qādī is dīwān, and whose salary the qādī paid, the shurūt wrote contracts and legal documents of all types and forms, and was retained, for a fee, as a legal expert for this specific purpose by individuals transacting outside the court’s jurisdictional boundaries (Emile Tyan, 1960, p.673). Manuals on adab al-qādī, which no doubt reflect significant aspects of the realia of judicial practice, all agree that one of the first tasks the newly appointed qādī must perform is to retain a kātib who ought to possess, along with other good personal qualities, expert knowledge in both law and the art of writing. These requirements are, significantly, also attested by the royal decrees which were issued by the caliph or the sultan for the purpose of appointing qādīs (Wakin Jeanette A, 1972, p.203). If no one is to be found qualified in both areas of law and writing, then knowledge of the art of writing is not to be compromised. But whether or not he is knowledgeable in law or has met the desirable-but not the absolutely necessary-requirement of ‘adala (just character), the qādī must subject the kātib to constant scrutiny, and to do so, he must have him sit in such close proximity to him as to be able to inspect what he has recorded. The legal literature is also peppered with references to individuals who functioned as kātibs. In the 160s/780s, al-Qadi al-Mufaddal b. Fadala’s scribe was bribed with a thousand dinars to copy down in the dīwān, unlawfully, a document in favor of a certain Qaratishi. Simnani’s fascinating accounts of his shaykh, al-Qadi al-Damghani al-Kabir, tell not only of how he conducted his majlis al-qādī, but also what his scribe did. In 925/1519, less than three years after Sultan Selim conquered Egypt, the Cairene court scribe Abu ‘l-Fadl al-Sunbati al-A’raj, who was notable for his skills as scribe, died. This rather brief biographical note of Ibn Iyas is quite revealing in that it constitutes not only an attestation to a lifelong career spent in the scribal profession, but also to a career the greatest part of which was spent in the service of Mamluk (Donald. B. Little, 1987, p.337). Similarly, Ibn al-Husayn devotes biographical notices for qādīs and other personalities who played one role or another in the religious, cultural and political arenas, including persons who belonged to the more modest profession of scribes. So we hear of such figures as Jamal al-Din al-Ghazzi who lived in Damascus and had a senior position in qādīs assemblies (majālis al-quḍāt), and who wrote down their decisions. In the same vein, Taj al-Din al-Halabi, Husayn Ibn Qasim, Shihab al-Din al-Adhru’i, and Shihab al-Din al-Halabi, are described as having functioned as kātibs in the Mamluk period in the courts of the city of Halab. ‘Abd al-Rahman al-Mahalli and ‘Abd al-Rahman al-Iskandaranī are among many who were described by ‘Asqālānī as having been highly skilled in recording sijillāt and as having worked in this capacity for qādīs (sajjala ‘ala al-quḍāt). So was ‘Abd al-Hamid Ibn ‘Abd al-‘Aziz, the best among his peers in drawing mahādīr and sijillāt. Some of judges and jurists began their careers as scribes, which may explain why some law manuals prefer a scribe who is a faqîh. Our third argument stems from the nature of adjudicatory organs in all complex societies, including of course those that are urban and quasi-urban. Since we are fairly certain that aside from the mazālim courts, pre-modern Muslim societies never had recourse to any other court system but to that of the Shari’a, we are justified in maintaining that the latter type of court was the chief adjudicatory organ of Muslim societies. If this premise is granted, then I should proceed to assert that no Muslim society, urban or quasi-urban, could properly function-judicially or otherwise-with a court system which maintains no proper registry of its daily business. Failing to maintain such a registry would have constituted cause for social disorder, and would have had as adverse an effect on state and society as the failure of the sultan to maintain a proper dīwān al-junūd or dīwān al-kharaj. All jurists and judges in pre-modern Islamic societies were acutely aware of the need to record in the dīwān each and every matter that had any consequence or that had the potential of arising at any point in the future. In fact, the raison d’etre of the entire system of the dīwān was precisely this anticipation of consequences (Chalmeta P., 1983, p.675).

The Andalusian judge Ibn al-Munasiîf(d. 620/1223) speaks of the crucial importance of recording all cases that may recur in the future. In describing the Andalusian and Maghrebi judicial practice, he says that judges up to his time were in the habit of recording...
all such cases in full, including the parties’ claims and testimonies of the case itself; then, the record was dated, sealed and attested by witnesses. However, he complains (and this he does often throughout the book) that his contemporaries have abridged this practice, apparently recording the cases in a succinctly manner. Here, he again warns that it is important to record the cases in sufficient detail to anticipate fully any ensuing litigation. With a different emphasis, the fifth/eleventh century Hanafite jurist and judge Simnani puts the matter as follows: You ought to know that the dīwān al-hukm is the backbone of legal transactions. In it are preserved testimonies, waqfs and debts. Using it the judge recalls his decisions concerning contracts as well as the testimonies of witnesses who attested before him. [He also recalls] the dates he adjudicated cases and the dates of sijillāt and mahādīr (Chalmeta P., 1983, p.6). Also recorded in the dīwān are:

- the proofs for the rectitude of witnesses (ta’dīl al-shuhūd) and the names of those who undertook the task of proving their just character;
- a record of those witnesses who were impeached, and the reasons for their impeachment. Thus, the dīwān is the qādī’s trustee and his successor (khali’fīa).

He should spare no effort to preserve it and keep it in good order, for it is the first thing he looks at and the first thing he receives [from his predecessor] who is in charge of it. Observe the use of the significant term khali’fīa which clearly expresses the notion that the dīwān is as much the successor of the qādī’s in terms of the local socio-legal continuity as the next appointed qādī is the representative of institutional continuity. If the whole institution of qādā is seen as a dichotomy of legal professionals, on the one hand, and social conflicts, social relations and economic arrangements negotiated in court before these professionals, on the other, then the authoritative structure of continuity and social and economic order ought to be seen as being mediated through the continuity of the qādīs office and that of his dīwān reflecting, respectively, this dichotomy. We shall now see that the practice of copying down the outgoing qādīs dīwān was the method by which documents, minutes, records of debt and all important matters, were preserved for any future exigency (Ahmad al-Tulaytuli, 1994, p.405).

All the abundantly available legal and quasi-legal sources unanimously consider the transference of the dīwān from the outgoing to the incoming qādī (an act known as tasallum or taslīm) as one of the first matters to which the latter must attend. (In light of our thesis, it is quite telling that the literature abounds with references to such phrases as the dīwān of the qādīs predecessor. The process of the dīwān’s transfer is often described in minute detail, clearly reflecting its crucial importance. The royal decrees of judicial appointment make of tasallum a distinct duty incumbent upon the new qādī. A typical decree, issued on behalf of the Caliph al-Mustarshid (reigned 512-29/1118-34) in favour of the Chief Qādī ‘Ali b. Husayn al-Zaynabi, commands this qādī to receive (yatasallum) the dīwān al- qādī and all that which it contains hujas, sijillāt, documents, guarantees, mahādīr, and agencies, ‘in the presence of just witnesses so they would see and attest to their receipt. The sultanic decree of al-Malik al-Nasir appointing the Chief Qādī Ibn Fadlan around 600/1203 specifically orders him to seal the dīwān upon receipt, and to ensure that it, together with the fiscal documents, does not leave his hands or those of his trustee. Once the qādī has appointed a scribe, he sends him in the company of at least one witness to the outgoing qādī or, more often, to the latter’s trustee in order to receive the dīwān. Though it does not seem to have been a common practice, the qādī himself, again accompanied by one witness or more, at times undertook this task. The process by which the dīwān was obtained differed in some respects from one region or time to another. As a rule, the dīwān itself remained in the hands of the qādī under whom it was written, and only a copy was made thereof (Ismail Hakki Uzungarshili, 1984, p.51). Probably much less frequently, the dīwān was delivered, lock stock and barrel, to the new qādī, in which case the delivery was attested by witnesses. However, until the middle of the second/eighth century, the outgoing qādīs seem, as a rule, to have transferred the dīwān itself to the incoming qādī or their representatives. In later periods, this practice occurred but far less frequently. Khalid b. Husayn al-Harithi, who served as a qādī under the Caliph al-Mahdi (reg. 158-69/774-85), was reportedly one of the first, if not the first, to have insisted on retaining the original copy of the dīwān, and on having the incoming qādī make two copies of it, both attested by witnesses. Sometime in the 160s/770s, the qādī ‘Afiya submitted his resignation to the Caliph al-Mahdi, and to finalize the matter of his resignation he gave up his qimatr, the bookcase in which the dīwān was kept. In 140/757, a certain qādī named Ghawth took over the post of Yazid b. Bilal who had just died, and when the dīwān failed to be delivered to him, he went to Yazid’s residence and received it there. It is also reported that when Ibn Zabīr resigned from his post in Cairo sometime in the 320s/930s, he surrendered his dīwān to Abu Hashim al-Maqdisi who agreed to replace him. Under special circumstances, when the qādī was bruquely dismissed or when he was indicted for misconduct, confiscation of his books was to be expected. In 200/815, upon

1 The word qimatr seems to have acquired a variety of meanings, depending on time and place.
deciding to dismiss Muhammad al-Ansari from the office of judgeship, the Caliph demanded that he be fetched together with his qimatr. This was done with the understanding that confiscating the qimatr represented his dismissal. Similarly, when al-Ma’mun defeated his brother al-Amin in 198/813, he immediately dismissed the qādī ‘Abd Allah b. Sawwar, sealed his books, and transferred them elsewhere, and this he did, according to Waki’, because Sawwar both severely criticized him and had been an ardent supporter of al-Amin. When the dīwān was copied down, the duplicates were required to be attested by witnesses in order to ensure their veracity. Simnani speaks of three copies: the first would be deposited with the new qādīs scribe or custodian; the second would remain in the hands of the person who received the dīwān; and the third would be given to the witness or witnesses who attested the process of transfer. The general picture that emerges, however, is that two copies, one for the incoming qādī and one for the witnesses, were considered sufficient. Since the purpose of this important exercise is to provide the incoming qādī with a register of earlier cases so that they can be retrieved in the future if the need arises, the process of copying the dīwān must be organized in a particular manner. The mahādir, sijillāt, sukūk, record of witnesses, documentation related to trustees over waqfs and orphans, etc., must each be lumped together, and no category of these, except for the mahādir, sijillāt and sukūk, must be allowed to mix with another. While copying, the kātib must ask the outgoing qādī or his representative about any case which may not be clear to him. The entire process must unfold gradually (shay’an fa-shay’an), slowly and carefully (tathabbut). One of the most revealing accounts of copying the dīwān is given by Abu Nasr al-Samarqandi, a jurist, shurut and judge who seems to have lived during the fifth/eleventh century. The work no doubt reflects to a large measure the judicial practice prevalent during the author’s lifetime in Samarkand and Bukhara, and this is evidenced in the subtle uniqueness of his work and in allusions to the realia of regional judicial and documentary practice, including the organization of the dīwān’s materials which the scribe copies. According to Samarqandi, the incoming qādīs first task (wa-awwalu ma yabtali bi-hi al-hākim) is to obtain the outgoing qādīs dīwān, which consists of qimatr (sg. qimatr), a register of male prisoners; financial accounts related to them, as well as the register of prisoners, recording them as follows: So and so against so and so, and attested by the witnesses so and so, on [for example] the fourth day of such and such month. Bequests, guarantees, trusteeships over waqfs and orphans, and all other items are recorded in this fashion until the year’s record, and all subsequent annual records, are completed. The scribe then turns to the register of prisoners, recording them as follows: So and so is jailed for an unpaid debt he owes to so and so, a debt attested by the testimony of the witnesses so and so. The time of imprisonment began on the day such and such of the month such and such. Aside from the mahādir, sijillāt, and sukūk – all of which are copied in one qimatr-Samarqandi divides the remaining material of the dīwān into ten categories, each of which should be copied, for a particular year, on a separate jarīda. These categories are as follows:

1. A list of witnesses that appeared in the mahādir.
2. A list of witnesses whose just character was reconfirmed, along with the date of confirmation. As long as six months have not elapsed since his last testimony, the witness’s rectitude need not be reconfirmed;
3. A list of male prisoners (see preceding paragraph);
4. A list of female prisoners (see preceding paragraph);
5. Waqfiyyat, the waqf estates and their locations;
6. Appointment and dismissal of trustees over waqfs, and various financial accounts related to them;
7. A list of trustees over estate distribution and orphans; financial accounts related to them, as well as the terms of their appointment;
8. A list of the names of qādīs, sultans, emirs, viziers, military commanders and other state officials, whose assistance might be needed;
9. A list of the names of guarantors, of those who have been guaranteed, and the objects of guarantee;
10. A list of agents (wukāla’), and of those who...
have given them powers of representation. The terms of representation, the lawsuits and dates are also recorded (Abū Nasr al-Samarqandī, Ed. Muhammad al-Hadisi, 1987, p.620).

Samarqandī’s classification is by no means identical to other classifications that originated in different times and places. For example, Ibn Nujaym does not see the need to copy down the waqf estates and their locations, because, he argues, the waqfiyyāt and related documents already contain such information. Ibn Abī al-Damm, describing Andalusian and Maghrebi judicial practice around 600/1200, observes that if the incoming qādī finds that most of the witnesses attesting in the outgoing qādī’s diwān have died, then he calls upon those who are still alive to reconfirm their attestations, thereby renewing the testimonial basis of these cases (Ahmad al-Tulaytuali, 1994, p.405). Regional and temporal variations no doubt existed, but the general principles governing the transfer of the diwān remained the same in all periods and places attested in our sources. At this point, we must note in passing that the diwān was organized in a systematic manner, a fact which facilitated copying when a new qādī came into office. All records of a certain type (e.g., mahādīr, sijillāt) appear to have been compiled separately and filed in a weekly or monthly dossier (idārā), depending, apparently, on the number and size of the records that accumulated in the court.

The second qādī’s court formular Shurūt are the clauses of a contract; their appropriate formulation is an essential part of the juridical practice. In this domain even the Arabs had to forget about the primacy of stylistic elegance; what mattered was merely precision and completeness. Such prerequisites could not be improvised; models were needed, exemplary texts which were collected in formularies. The kutub al-shurūt are such formularies; they are written not to explain the law but to furnish patterns on how to apply it. The oldest text of this genre known to us is an essential part of the juridical practice. In this the study of the literature of Shurūt and individual forms for documents is rewarding from many points of view.

In The kutub al-shurūt much space was given to the contracts on sale and property rights (bay‘, pl. buyu‘) and marital rights (nikah, pl. ankiha) besides has another third category of contracts which are about slavery issues. This issue can be referred in both categories. From one side, slavery issues could be considered as a part of because slaves were regarded as close people to the family. From another side, slavery was a type of commerce in Medieval East. Slaves were sold and bought. From this point of view, it can be referred to both categories.


Hispanic researchers P. Chalmeta, F. Carriente (Chalmeta P.,Carriente F., 1983, p.6), J. Riberta (Riberta J., Asin M., 1991, p.256), F. Sadaba (Ahmad

*The term shurūt is used by the hanafi scholars as al-Shaybani, al-Tahawi, al-Samarqandi, Burhān ad-dīn al-Bukhari to apply equally to the literature as a whole, the model document as shart or shara‘it (in this plural form, he is perhaps thinking of a collection of formulas), while shara‘it can also be a plural of either shart or shurūt. Shurūt is the usual Hanafi term, although occasionally a written document is called wathiqa, sakk, aqīd (pl. wuqud?), hujiya, mahdar (pl. Mahadīr), sijill (pl. Sijillāt). In the eastern part of the Islamic World and in the Ottoman period, documents and forms for documents are more often called Sakk (pl. Sukuk). In Transoxiana (Mawarannahr), wathiqa (pl. Watha‘aq). C.E., such as Abū Nasr al-Samarqandī’s (d. 550/1155) (Abū Nasr al-Samarqandī, Ed. Muhammad al-Hadisi, 1987, p.620) Burhān ad-dīn Mahmūd al-Bukhari’s “al-Muhīṭ” (Tyan Emile, 1945, p.1241), and “al-Zahīra al-burḥāniyya” (Tyan Emile, 1945, p.99), Muhammad Hasan al-Ustrushanī’s “Kitāb al-fusul” (Tyan Emile, 1945, p.29) were dedicated to shurūt sciences. And it can be observed that while creating these works they used Abū Hanifa’s, Muhammad al-Shaybani, Abū Yusuf, Ibn Sama’a and Hassaf, Abū Ja‘far al-Tahawi’s manuals as the initial source and al-Sarakhsi.

2 See Joseph Schacht based on the manuscript of the work which is kept under # 140, at the department of Hanafi fiqh of “Maatiba al-hidiwiyya al-misriyya” library in Cairo. Nowadays the library al-hidiwiyya al-misriyya is united with the library of al-Azhar. Michael Tung based on the manuscript of the work which is kept in Public library in Vienna, Austria.
al-Tulaytuli, 1994, p.405) studied “shurūt” works of Maliki madhab. According to their opinion, Maliki madhab shurūt science was written by qādīs of Kordova and Granada cities of Andalusia mainly from 399/1009 to 767/1365. The first “shurūt” work of Maliki madhab is “al-Wasa’iq va-l-sijillāt” by Muhammad al-Attar (d. 399/1009). After him Ahmad al-Tulaytuli (d. 459/1067) also wrote “al-Muqni’ fī ‘ilm shurūt”. The last work concerning shurūt was “al-’Iqd al-munazzam li-qādī sijillāt” (Ulrich Rebstock, 2003, p.110).

The initial researches showed that, shurūt is a complex of rules which take the conditions of the drawing up the contracts and is of valuable importance. The shurūt chapters met in fiqh sources studied the following social problems: trade “ashtiyya” (شرطة), marriage “ankiha” (طلاق), divorce “talāq” (انكحة), to liberate the slave “‘itāq” (عتاق), to bound up the liberation “ankiha” (انكحة), divorce and mahr “talāq” (انكحة) to give an evidence of smone’s responsibility to another person “hawāla” (حوالة), to solve the conflict basing on reconcile “sulh” (صلح), get rid of responsibility “barā’a” (براءة), deposit “rohn” (رهن), muzora’a and mu’omala partnership “muzāra’a and mu’āmala” (مزارعة و معاملة), mudāraba partnership “muzāraba” (مضاربة), shari’at orders “shari’at” (شرع), the norms of international contracts and safeness (to seek asylum) “muwāda’at wa kutub al-āmān” (.onreadystatechange), decorations “huliy” (حلي), materials and decorations “haq” (obligatory fee) and compulsory debt.

All of this happened on ____ day (Confirmed by qadi’s stamp)” (Ulrich Rebstock, 2003, p.122).

The facts of acceptance of the property by people’s right for the property; the evidence of the absence of other people’s right for the property; the fact of acceptance of the property by purchaser; the fact of willingly signed contract by sides, without any interference by other people; the date (Ulrich Rebstock, 2003, p.122).

The other documents of qādi court are mahdar “contract”, fellow市长, and mu’āmala (مضاربة و معاملة), conditions of cancellation of relations “muqāţ’āt” (مغاطة), the norms of international contracts and safeness (to seek asylum) “muwāda’at wa kutub al-āmān” (mıyor, موارد, كتب الأمان), decorations “huliy” (حلي). The work “al-Muhīţ al-burhānī” deals with the rules which was used in central cities of Transoxiana. The following are the main features of it:

- The sides;
- Property and its components;
- The right of property ownership;
- The address and the form of property;
- The amount of money given for property;
- The evidence of the absence of other people’s right for the property;
- The fact of acceptance of the property by purchaser;
- The fact of willingly signed contract by sides, without any interference by other people;
- The date (Ulrich Rebstock, 2003, p.122).

All of the mentioned features should be given in all kinds of buying-selling contracts as the major rules. Some of the features changed depending on the type of contract, property and money.

The other documents of qādi court are mahdar and sijill. These documents differ from contracts, were signed by qādi or his assistants during mahkama (court) process, and were of practical value.

The point to be stressed here is that both of these works clearly show:

1. That the mahādīr and sijillāt, which represented the entire range of legal subject matter dealt with in the
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courts of law, originally existed as an integral part of actual qādīs’ dīwāns;

2. The fact that these legal treatises appropriated the mahādir and sijillāt as a model discourse to be reproduced by court scribes and qādīs meant that these records existed within a constant dialectical cycle of judicial praxis and juristic control and refinement; and most importantly;

3. These works reflect the formal nature of mahādir and sijillāt, and their permanence in the qādīs’ dīwān. At this point, it would be well to consider the history of the dīwān, at least insofar as the fragmented pieces of evidence allow us to glean a general picture of its beginnings. On several occasions throughout this article, We cited historical reports concerning a variety of practices related to the judicial dīwān in the early centuries of Islam. The evidence of these reports makes it clear that the institution has deep roots in both history and legal practice. We shall now integrate the available material in an attempt to show that the early origins of this institution suggest that it had become formalized and practiced systematically long before the Islamic administration themselves appeared in the Islamic World. It is not entirely unlikely that keeping a record of the judge’s decisions and perhaps of other important judicial matters was a pre-Islamic practice, and that when the Arabs conquered the Fertile Crescent, Andalusia, North Africa, Central Asia and Iran, they adopted the fundamentals of this practice from one or other of these areas. Be that as it may, we have no reason to reject Kindi’s report that Sulaym b. ‘Itr was the first Muslim qādī in Egypt to make a written record of his decisions sometime between 40/660 and 60/679, when he held the office there. The context of Kindi’s report had to do with the need to keep track of court decisions for future reference, a matter which I have already discussed. When Sulaym decided in a matter of inheritance, the parties to the lawsuit seem to have appealed his decision or, simply, a dispute had arisen amongst them subsequent to his verdict. In the wake of this appeal or dispute, Sulaym decided to record his sentence. The sentence was passed by Sulaym in the capacity of his deputy should be defined to their position by authorized person. The person who gave
the case to the deputy and the date should be entered as obligatory;

The evidence in Islamic Law, mainly consisted of documents, confession or refuse to swear an oath (nukūl);
- Plaintiff;
- Defendant;
- Action (Burhānuddīn Mahmūd, Manuscript, p.2a).

Medieval qādī courts used mostly mahdar and sijill documents, and they were drawn up as following:

1. “Basmala” was written.
2. Qādī who takes the chair in certain qādī meeting “majlis al-qādā” (مجلس القضاء) was introduced and his name, nickname and his origin was entered to document.
3. The place wherein qādī meeting had been held was also indicated. Here, there was the compulsory condition to indicate the region “kura” (كره), the part of the region – district “nāhiya” (ناحية).
4. There also was a section in the documents, indicating who gave the qādī authority. If it is a temporary authority or the power of authority was given to his deputy, his condition and position should be also entered.
5. There was recorded the territory where qādī sentence was valid.
6. There was also indicated the date of the case proceeding and the date when the sentence was passed.

In addition to those early reports just cited, many others speaking of dīwāns changing hands, bribing scribes to write one thing or another in the dīwān, refusing to act in accord with a predecessor’s dīwān, caliphs confiscating dīwāns, qādīs who were dismissed refusing to act in accord with a predecessor’s dīwān, recording one particular or another in the dīwān, ways of keeping dīwāns, how certain qādīs treated their own dīwāns, etc.

Three prominent conditions are:
1. The dīwān, especially in larger towns and cities, consisted of a great number of loose leaves which failed to be bound even in the later periods. The early form of the qimatr (a word that is of high frequency in the early and late medieval period) was some sort of a form of the qimatr (a word that is of high frequency in the early and late medieval period) was some sort of a bag (kis) in which the loose leaves were parcelled.
2. The qimatr as a bound ledger does not appear on the scene until very late, probably in late Mamluk times. Even the Ottoman qādīs also did not always bind these loose leaves, a fact evidenced, for instance, in the court of Damascus, where Jon Mandaville found more than 2,000 leaves that remained unbound (Bedir M., 2005, pp.27-84). In the late eighteenth and early nineteenth-century court of Tripoli, a similar situation exists, which may account for the bad condition of the documents6. This must suggest to us that documents in the form of loose leaves, which must have made up the bulk of Islamic dīwān records, were less likely to survive. They were more difficult to maintain, and were at times used for other purposes (e.g., fuel). At times, documents of such nature were simply thrown on rubbish dumps, as is attested by Rabie who based his important study partly on such documents that were salvaged by accident.

2. The reason why such type of documents received little care from those who value books and written material is that they were of highly limited interest to literate individuals. They represented none of the literary forms that interested Muslims. They were neither poetry, nor hadith, nor historical narrative; and, with one exception, they even failed to constitute legal subject-matter that could be put to meaningful use. Once the dīwān was copied down, the original which remained in the outgoing qādīs hands had no practical, theoretical or literary use. In the entirety of the Islamic cultural environment which produced colossal mountains of writing, it is hard to imagine who would benefit from such dīwāns. One might think, with a distinct twentieth-century bias, that Muslim historians would have shown some interest in such valuable material. But for obvious reasons, a serious twentieth-century historian should not be tempted by such a thought. The exception to all this is the shurūt historians would have shown some interest in such valuable material. But for obvious reasons, a serious twentieth-century historian should not be tempted by such a thought. The exception to all this is the shurūt historians would have shown some interest in such valuable material. But for obvious reasons, a serious twentieth-century historian should not be tempted by such a thought.

3. The lack of literary or other interest of these documents, and the poor protection afforded what seem to have been bundles of loose leaves, would have probably been compensated for by proper storage, which most certainly was not the case. The dīwāns were in the possession of private individuals, not institutions, a central and crucial fact in our inquiry. The Islamic legal system never acknowledged a well-defined, legally instituted, physical space for courts of law. As we have seen, the qādī held his majlis in any of some places, and the dīwān was carried from one


5 That the qimatr may also be a sort of bag (kis) is attested by Ibn Nujaym, al-Bahr al-ra’iq, vi, 299.
should in no way use this failure to argue that such documents are provided. Finally, conclusions about rejected official letters addressed to the officials muzakkī, a trustee and granting an allowance are presented. Then, besides, guidelines and examples of claiming against economic and criminal court cases are provided. Moreover, this attestation to the centralization of the dīwān’s activity, which Islamic administration seems to have done. In all of our Islamic administration sources, there is no hint whatsoever that the qādī, upon dismissal or death, were required to deposit their dīwāns, in any form or manner, in a state-owned building or other public space.

Conclusion

General information is given about the principles of writing shurūt, mahdar and sijill, and samples of documents where had been recorded marital, death, and other claims are presented in this chapter. Moreover, some claims are presented in this chapter. Chapter. Moreover, samples of official letters, and qādī’s appeal hukmī kitab (كتاب حكم) to another city’s qādī where the defendant is living/traveling (such instances take place in case if the plaintiff is present, but the defendant is absent in court case as he lives/travels in another city, and consequently, the qādī, whom the plaintiff had addressed with the requirement of bringing an action, requests another city’s qādī where the defendant lives) are given. Furthermore, Qādī’s decisions on appointing a trustee and granting an allowance are presented. Then, samples of letters addressed to the officials muzakkī who were responsible for examining the witnesses are given. Finally, conclusions about rejected official documents are provided.

Now, whatever the reasons for the seeming failure of the Islamic administration dīwāns to survive, we should in no way use this failure to argue that such an institution was not maintained in a formal and systematic manner. Nor is there any justification for the claim that the keeping of the dīwān was less than systematic, and that it was kept up in a haphazard manner until the ascension of the Islamic administration. Not only does the evidence show the contrary, but the claim itself makes no sense: the Muslim qādīs either kept a dīwān or they did not. If there was good reason to keep a dīwān for, say, a few years or even a few months, then the reason must stand for all time. And since, as we have seen, there was, indeed, a convincing reason to keep a dīwān, we are compelled to conclude that in reality the institution was maintained systematically.
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