

3-25-2018

Some cardinal and topological properties of the n -permutation degree of a topological spaces and locally τ -density of hyperspaces

Farkhod Mukhamadiev

National University of Uzbekistan, farhod8717@mail.ru

Follow this and additional works at: https://uzjournals.edu.uz/mns_nuu



Part of the [Geometry and Topology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Mukhamadiev, Farkhod (2018) "Some cardinal and topological properties of the n -permutation degree of a topological spaces and locally τ -density of hyperspaces," *Bulletin of National University of Uzbekistan: Mathematics and Natural Sciences*: Vol. 1 : Iss. 1 , Article 11.

Available at: https://uzjournals.edu.uz/mns_nuu/vol1/iss1/11

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by 2030 Uzbekistan Research Online. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bulletin of National University of Uzbekistan: Mathematics and Natural Sciences by an authorized editor of 2030 Uzbekistan Research Online. For more information, please contact brownman91@mail.ru.

SOME CARDINAL AND TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF THE n -PERMUTATION DEGREE OF A TOPOLOGICAL SPACES AND LOCALLY τ -DENSITY OF HYPERSPACES

MUKHAMADIEV F. G.

National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

e-mail: farhod8717@mail.ru

Abstract

In the paper we investigate some cardinal and topological properties of the n -permutation degree of a topological spaces and locally τ -density of hyperspaces. It is proved that the functors \exp_n and SP^n preserves locally τ -density of any infinite T_1 -spaces.

Keywords: k -spaces, locally τ -density, hyperspace, n -permutation degree.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 54-XX

1 Introduction

A permutation group X is the group of all permutations (i.e. one-one and onto mappings $X \rightarrow X$). A permutation group of a set X is usually denoted by $S(X)$. If $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $S(X)$ is denoted by S_n , as well.

Let X^n be the n -th power of a compact X . The permutation group S_n of all permutations, acts on the n -th power X^n as permutation of coordinates. The set of all orbits of this action with quotient topology we denote by $SP^n X$. Thus, points of the space $SP^n X$ are finite subsets (equivalence classes) of the product X^n . Thus two points $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in X^n$ are considered to be equivalent if there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $y_i = x_{\sigma(i)}$. The space $SP^n X$ is called the n -permutation degree of a spaces X [1]. Equivalence relations by which we obtained spaces $SP^n X$ and $\exp_n X$, is called the symmetric and hypersymmetric equivalence relations, respectively. Any symmetrically equivalent points X^n are hypersymmetrically equivalent. But inverse is not correct. So, for $x \neq y$ points $(x, x, y), (x, y, y) \in X^3$ are hypersymmetrically equivalent, but not symmetrically equivalent.

The concept of a permutation degree has generalizations. Let G be any subgroup of the group S_n . Then it also acts on X^n as group of permutations of coordinates. Consequently, it generates a G -symmetric equivalence relation on X^n . The quotient space of the product X^n under the G -symmetric equivalence relation, is called G -permutation degree of the space X and is denoted by $SP_G^n X$. An operation SP_G^n is also the covariant functor in the category of compacts and is said to be a functor of G -permutation degree. If $G = S_n$ then $SP_G^n = SP^n$. If the group G consists only of unique element then $SP_G^n X = X^n$. Moreover, if $G_1 \subset G_2$ for subgroups G_1, G_2 of the permutation group S_n then we get a sequence of the factorization of functors:

$$X^n \rightarrow SP_{G_1}^n \rightarrow SP_{G_2}^n \rightarrow SP^n \rightarrow \exp_n.$$

Let X be a T_1 -space. The collection of all nonempty closed subsets of X we denote by $\exp X$. The family B of all sets in the form $O\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle = \left\{ F : F \in \exp X, F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i, F \cap U_i \neq \emptyset, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$, where U_1, \dots, U_n is a sequence of open sets of X , generates the topology on the set $\exp X$. This topology is called the Vietoris topology. The $\exp X$ with the Vietoris topology is called the exponential space or the hyperspace of X [1]. Let X be a T_1 -space. Denote by $\exp_n X$ the set of all closed subsets of X cardinality of that is not greater than the cardinal number n , i.e. $\exp_n X = \{F \in \exp X : |F| \leq n\}$.

Let's put

$$\exp_\omega X = \bigcup \{ \exp_n X : n = 1, 2, \dots \}, \exp_c X = \{F \in \exp X : F \text{ is compact in } X\}.$$

It is clear, that $\exp_n X \subset \exp_\omega X \subset \exp_c X \subset \exp X$ for any topological space X .

Definition 1 ([2]). *A topological space is k -space if it is a quotient image of some topological space Y .*

2 Some cardinal and topological properties of the n -permutation degree of a topological spaces

In this section we investigate some cardinal and topological properties of the n -permutation degree of a topological spaces.

Recall that a topological space is locally compact if for each $x \in X$ there exists a neighborhood U of x such that $[U]$ is a compact subspace of X [2].

Proposition 1. *Let X be a locally compact T_1 -space, n positive integer and G subgroup of the permutation group S_n . Then $SP^n X, SP_G^n X, \exp_n X$ are k -spaces.*

Proof. Let X be a locally compact T_1 -space. Then X^n is locally compact space for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Spaces $SP^n X$ and $\exp_n X$ become a quotient image of the space X^n . So, $SP^n X, \exp_n X$ are k -spaces. Proposition is proved. \square

Corollary 1. *Functors SP^n, SP_G^n, \exp_n preserve any k -space.*

Proposition 2. *Let X be an infinite T_1 -space, n positive integer and G subgroup of the permutation group S_n . Then $n\pi w(SP^n X) = n\pi w(X)$.*

Proof. In the work [3] it is proved that $d(SP^n X) = d(X), n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is known that any dense set $M \subset X$ can be a π -net of this space. Thence, we have $n\pi w(SP^n X) = n\pi w(X)$. \square

Corollary 2. *Let X be an infinite T_1 -space, n positive integer and G subgroup of the permutation group S_n . Then $n\pi w(X) = n\pi w(SP^n X) = n\pi w(SP_G^n X) = n\pi w(SP_{G_1}^n X) = n\pi w(SP_{G_2}^n X) = n\pi w(\exp_n X) = n\pi w(\exp_\omega X) = n\pi w(\exp X)$.*

3 Locally τ -density of hyperspaces

A set $A \subset X$ is dense in X if $[A] = X$. The density is defined as the smallest cardinal number of the form $|A|$, where A is a dense subset of X . This cardinal number is denoted by $d(X)$. A space X is said to be separable if $d(X) \leq \aleph_0$ [1].

We say that the weak density of the topological space is $\tau \geq \aleph_0$, if τ is the smallest cardinal number such that there exists a π -base coinciding with τ of centered systems of open sets, i.e. there is a π -base $B = \cup\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$, where B_α is a centered system of open sets for each $\alpha \in A$, $|A| = \tau$ [2].

Weak density of a topological space X is denoted by $wd(X)$. A topological space X is said to be weakly separable if $wd(X) = \aleph_0$ [3].

Definition 2 ([2]). *A topological space X is called a sequentially compact space if every sequence of points of X has a convergent subsequence.*

Theorem 1 ([2]). *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous mapping of a sequential compact space X onto a topological space Y , then Y is sequential compact.*

Theorem 2 ([2]). *The Cartesian product of countably many sequential compact spaces is sequentially compact.*

Proposition 3. *Let X be an infinite T_1 -space, n positive integer and G subgroup of the permutation group S_n . Then spaces $SP^n X, SP_G^n X, \exp_n X$ are sequential compact.*

The proof of Proposition 3 follows from Theorems 1 and 2.

Corollary 3. *Functors SP^n, SP_G^n, \exp_n preserve sequential compactness of any infinite T_1 -space.*

Definition 3 ([4]). *A topological space X is locally separable at a point $x \in X$ if x has a separable neighborhood in X .*

A topological space is locally separable if it is locally separable at each point $x \in X$ [4].

Definition 4. *We say that the local density of a topological space X is τ at a point x if τ is the smallest cardinal number such that x has a neighborhood of density τ .*

The local density at a point x , is denoted by $ld(x)$. The local density of a topological space is defined as the supremum of all numbers $ld(x)$ for $x \in X$. The local density of X is denoted as following: $ld(X) = \sup\{ld(x) : x \in X\}$.

Proposition 4. *Let X be a space of local density τ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ open continuous "onto" mapping. Then Y is space of local density τ .*

Proof. Since the map f is "onto", for every point $y \in Y$ the pre-image $f^{-1}(y)$ is nonempty in X . For each point $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ there exists a neighborhood Ox such that the density of Ox is τ . Since f is continuous, $f(Ox)$ is an open set in Y and contains the point y . It is known that the weak density is preserved under a continuous mapping, therefore $f(Ox)$ is weakly τ -dense in Y . \square

Recall that a set is called a closed domain if it is the closure of its interior.

Theorem 3. Assume that the locally density of X is τ and G is a subset of X . If G satisfies at least one of following conditions:

- a) G is open in X ;
- b) G is dense in X ;
- c) G is a closed domain in X ;

then the locally density of G is τ .

Proof. a) Let G be a nonempty open subset of X . For any point $x \in G$ by the definition there exists a neighborhood $Ox \subset X$ such that Ox τ -dense. Then $Ox \cap G = O_1x$ is a nonempty open set in G , containing x . It is known that the density is hereditary with respect to open sets, therefore O_1x is τ -dense.

b) Let $M \subset X$ be a dense subset in X . Consider arbitrary point $y \in M$. Since X is locally τ -dense, there exists a neighborhood $Oy \subset X$ of y such that Oy is τ -dense. Consider $Oy \cap M = O_1y$. Then O_1y is a nonempty open subset in M . Besides, $O_1y \subseteq Oy$ and O_1y are dense in Oy . Since every dense subset of a dense space, is dense, O_1y is τ -dense.

c) Let G be closed domain in X . Then there exists a open set U such that $G = [U]$. In this case, by section a) U is locally τ -dense. We get arbitrary point $z \in G$ and τ -dense neighborhood $Oz \subset X$. Then $O_1z = Oz \cap G$ is a nonempty open set in G . Consider $V = Oz \cap U$, since every open subset of τ -dense space, is τ -dense, V is τ -dense. On the other hand, V is dense in O_1z . It is known that the density is hereditary with respect to dense set, therefore the neighborhood O_1z of z is τ -dense. \square

Theorem 4. The Cartesian product $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is locally τ -dense iff X_i is locally τ -dense for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $p_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ is the projection of X onto X_i , i.e. $p_i(\{x\}) = x_i$, $x = \{x_k\} \in X$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since p_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are continuous and are "onto", by proposition 3.8 we see that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the space X_i is locally τ -dense.

Sufficiency. Let $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be an arbitrary point of X . Since X_i is locally τ -dense for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exist τ -dense neighborhoods Ox_i of x_i in X_i . Suppose $Ox = Ox_1 \times \dots \times Ox_n$ is the Cartesian product of neighborhoods Ox_i . Then Ox is weakly τ -dense (proposition 1.1.11 (Wd6)) [4]. Thus, Ox is a τ -dense neighborhood of the point x . \square

Theorem 5 ([5]). Let X be an infinite T_1 -space. $O \langle V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k \rangle \subset O \langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle$ iff for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ there exists $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ such that $V_j \subset U_i$.

Theorem 6 ([5]). Let X be an infinite T_1 -space. $O \langle V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k \rangle \subset O \langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle$ iff for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ there exists $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ such that $V_j \subset U_i$.

Theorem 7. *Let X be an infinite T_1 -space. Then $ld(X) = ld(\exp_n X) = ld(\exp_\omega X) = ld(\exp_c X)$.*

Proof. First we show the equality $ld(X) = ld(\exp_n X)$. a) We shall show that $ld(\exp_n X) \leq ld(X)$. Assume that $ld(X) = \tau \geq \aleph_0$ and $F \in \exp_n X$ is an arbitrary element of $\exp_n X$. We shall prove that $ld(F) \leq \tau$. For convenience, suppose that the set $F = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ consists of exactly n distinct points. In this case, there exist neighborhoods $O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_nx_n$ of the point $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $d(O_ix_i) \leq \tau$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n be dense subsets in $O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_nx_n$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Consider finite subsets $M = \left\{ F_\alpha^i : F_\alpha^i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i, F_\alpha^i \cap M_i \neq \emptyset, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$ of sets M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n . It is obvious that $|M| \leq \tau$.

We shall show that M is dense in $O\langle U_1x_1, U_2x_2, \dots, U_nx_n \rangle$. Let $O\langle V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n \rangle \subset O\langle U_1x_1, U_2x_2, \dots, U_nx_n \rangle$ be an arbitrary nonempty open subset of $\exp_n X$. We get an arbitrary element $E \in O\langle V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n \rangle$, then $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$ and $E \cap V_i \neq \emptyset, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Consequently, $E \in O\langle U_1x_1, U_2x_2, \dots, U_nx_n \rangle$. This implies $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_ix_i$ and $E \cap U_ix_i \neq \emptyset, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From theorem 3.11 [5] we see that for each $U_1x_1, U_2x_2, \dots, U_nx_n$ there exist V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k such that $V_1 \subset U_1x_1, V_2 \subset U_2x_2, \dots, V_k \subset U_nx_n$. Choose points $y_1 \in U_1 \cap M_1, y_2 \in U_2 \cap M_2, \dots, y_n \in U_n \cap M_n$. Then $K = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \in M$ and $K \in O\langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle$. Therefore M is dense in $O\langle U_1x_1, U_2x_2, \dots, U_nx_n \rangle$. Inequality a) is proved.

b) We shall prove the inequality $ld(\exp_n X) \geq ld(X)$. Suppose $ld(\exp_n X) = \tau \geq \aleph_0$. We shall show that $ld(X) \leq \tau$. Suppose $x \in X$. It is clear that $\{x\} \in \exp_n X$. In this case there exists a neighborhood $O\langle U\{x\} \rangle$ such that $d(O\langle U\{x\} \rangle) \leq \tau$. Consider a dense subset $S = \bigcup \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ of $U\{x\}$ and $|S| \leq \tau$. From each F_α we take $x_\alpha \in F_\alpha$. Put $B = \{x_\alpha : x_\alpha \in F_\alpha\}$. It is obvious that $|B| \leq \tau$. We shall show that B is dense in $U\{x\}$. Let $G \subset U\{x\}$ be an arbitrary nonempty open subset of $U\{x\}$. Then $O\langle G \rangle$ is open in $O\langle U\{x\} \rangle$, i.e. $O\langle G \rangle \subset O\langle U\{x\} \rangle$. Since S is dense in $U\{x\}$, there exists an element such that $F_\alpha \in O\langle G \rangle$. It is clear that $F_\alpha \subset G$. By choosing of points $x_\alpha \in F_\alpha \subset G$. Thus the set B is dense in $U\{x\}$. We shall prove the inequality $ld(\exp_n X) \geq ld(X)$. From sections a) and b) we have $ld(X) = ld(\exp_n X)$. The equality $ld(X) = ld(\exp_\omega X)$ is proved analogously.

Now we shall prove the equality $ld(X) = ld(\exp_c X)$.

a) We shall show $ld(\exp_c X) \leq ld(X)$. Suppose $ld(X) = \tau \geq \aleph_0$. We take an arbitrary element $F \in \exp_c X$. Then $F \subset X$ is a compact subset of X . Since $ld(X) \leq \tau$, for each element $x \in F$ there is a neighborhood Ox such that $d(Ox) \leq \tau$. Assume that x runs over the set F , then the system $\{O_\alpha x_\alpha : x_\alpha \in F\}$ covers the set F . Since F is compact, there exist finite sets $O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_kx_k$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^k O_ix_i \supseteq F$ and $d(O_ix_i) \leq \tau$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. It is clear that $O\langle O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_kx_k \rangle$ contains the compact F and we shall show that $d(O\langle O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_kx_k \rangle) \leq \tau$.

Indeed, let $M_1 = \{x_{\alpha_1}^1 : \alpha_1 \in A_1\}$, $M_2 = \{x_{\alpha_2}^2 : \alpha_2 \in A_2\}$, ..., $M_k =$

$\{x_{\alpha_k}^k : \alpha_k \in A_k\}$ be dense subsets of $O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_kx_k$. Consider finite $(x_{\alpha_1}^1, x_{\alpha_2}^2, \dots, x_{\alpha_k}^k)$ combinations of sets M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k . This set denote by $M = \{(x_{\alpha_1}^1, x_{\alpha_2}^2, \dots, x_{\alpha_k}^k) : \alpha_i \in M_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$, clearly, $|M| \leq \tau$. We shall prove that M is dense in $O \langle O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_kx_k \rangle$.

Indeed, assume that $O \langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle \subset O \langle O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_kx_k \rangle$ is an arbitrary open set in $O \langle O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_kx_k \rangle$. Then U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n are open sets in X . From theorem 3.11 [5] it follows that for each set $O_ix_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ there exists $U_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such that $U_j \subset O_ix_i$. For convenience, suppose $U_1 \subset O_1x_1, U_2 \subset O_2x_2, \dots, U_n \subset O_kx_k$. Then $U_1 \cap M_1 \neq \emptyset, U_2 \cap M_2 \neq \emptyset, \dots, U_n \cap M_k \neq \emptyset$. Choose a point from each intersection: $x_1 \in U_1 \cap M_1, x_2 \in U_2 \cap M_2, \dots, x_n \in U_n \cap M_k$. These points denote by $F = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. It is clear that $F = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \in O \langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \rangle \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

We shall prove that the set $M = \{(x_{\alpha_1}^1, x_{\alpha_2}^2, \dots, x_{\alpha_k}^k) : \alpha_i \in M_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is dense in $O \langle O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_kx_k \rangle$. Therefore $d(O \langle O_1x_1, O_2x_2, \dots, O_kx_k \rangle) \leq \tau$. Inequality a) is proved.

b) Now we shall prove $ld(X) \leq ld(\exp_c X)$. Suppose $ld(\exp_c X) = \tau \geq \aleph_0$. We must prove $ld(X) \leq \tau$. Take an arbitrary point $x \in X$. Clearly, $\{x\} \in \exp_c X$, since $\{x\}$ is a compact set. Then there is a neighborhood $O\{x\}$ of x such that $d(\langle O\{x\} \rangle) \leq \tau$, where $\langle O\{x\} \rangle$ is an open set in $\exp_c X$ by definition of Vietoris topology. Since $x \in X$ is arbitrary, we have $ld(X) \leq \tau$. From a) and b) we obtain $ld(X) = ld(\exp_c X)$. \square

Corollary 4. *Let X be an infinite compact T_1 -space. Then $ld(X) = ld(\exp_n X) = ld(\exp_\omega X) = ld(\exp_c X) = ld(\exp X)$.*

Corollary 5. *Let X be an infinite compact T_1 -space and $G_1 \subset G_2$ for subgroups G_1, G_2 of the permutation group S_n . Then $ld(X^n) = ld(SP_{G_1}^n X) = ld(SP_{G_2}^n X) = ld(SP^n X) = ld(\exp_n X)$.*

References

- [1] Fedorchuk V. V., Filippov V. V. Topology of hyperspaces and its applications. Topology and its Applications, 1989, V.4, №4, pp.3-48.
- [2] Engelking R. General topology. Polish Scientific Publishers, 1986. – 626 p.
- [3] Beshimov R. B., Mukhamadiev F. G., Zhuraev R. M. Cardinal properties of the space of permutation degree. Scientific Reports of the Tashkent State Pedagogical University, 2014, №1(2), pp.76-84.
- [4] Beshimov R. B., Djabbarov G. F. On local weakly separable space. Methods Funct. Anal. Topology, 2005, V.11, No.3, pp.217-221.
- [5] Beshimov R. B., Mukhamadiev F. G. Some cardinal properties of complete linked systems with compact elements and absolut regular spaces. Mathematica Aeterna, 2013, V.3, №8, pp.625-633.